

## Correction to "Optical coherence techniques for plasma spectroscopy",

## Rev. Sci. Instrum. Vol. 72, 888-897 (2001)

Equation (2) of this paper expresses the optical coherence  $\gamma(\phi)$  at some fixed optical delay  $\phi$  in terms of the Fourier transform of the quasi-monochromatic emission line spectrum  $e(\xi)$  where  $\xi = (\nu - \nu_0)/\nu_0$  is the normalized optical frequency

 $\gamma(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(\xi) \exp(i\phi \xi) d\xi.$  (1)

and  $\mu_0$  is the line-of-sight integrated emission intensity. The interferometer phase delay at fixed frequency  $\nu = \nu_0$  is given by  $\phi_0 = 2\pi LB(\nu_0)\nu_0/c$  where  $B(\nu)$  is lithium niobate crystal birefringence and L is the crystal thickness. In evaluating Eq. (1), we neglected to take account of the optical frequency dispersion of the birefringence, effectively setting  $\phi = \phi_0$  in the exponent in Eq. (1).

The correct expression for the birefringent phase delay at frequency  $\nu = \nu_0 + \delta \nu$ , where  $\delta \nu$  is a small optical frequency shift, is given by

$$\varphi(\nu) = \frac{2\pi L}{c} \left( B(\nu_0) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \nu} \mid_{\nu_0} \delta \nu \right) (\nu_0 + \delta \nu)$$

$$\approx \phi_0 + \phi_0 \xi \left( 1 + \frac{\nu_0}{B_0} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \nu} \mid_{\nu_0} \right)$$
(2)

where  $B_0 \equiv B(\nu_0)$ . The second term in brackets, representing the birefringence dispersion, is not negligible. The Sellmeier equations for the refractive indices of lithium niobate [1] give

$$\kappa \equiv 1 + \frac{\nu_0}{B_0} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \nu} \mid_{\nu_0} = 1.60 \tag{3}$$

at the Ar II wavelength 488nm. This result has been confirmed by comparing measurements of the  $\sigma$  components of a Zeeman split triplet using the MOSS spectrometer and a calibrated monochromator [2]. The result of this oversight is that the flow velocities reported in the paper must be revised downwards by the factor  $\kappa$  and all temperatures reduced by  $\kappa^2 = 2.56$ .

The correction noted here also apply to related papers [?, 3].

## References

[1] R. S. WEISS and T. K. GAYLORD, Appl. Phys. A37, 191 (1985).

- [2] J. HOWARD, Appl. Opt. (2001 (submitted)).
- [3] C. MICHAEL, J. HOWARD, and B. D. BLACKWELL, Rev. Sci. Instrum. (2000).