PHYSICAL REVIEW A 79, 1 (2009)

1	K-shell double photoionization of Be, Mg, and Ca					
2	A. S. Kheifets [*]					
3	Research School of Physical Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia					
4	Igor Bray					
5	ARC Centre for Matter-Antimatter Studies, Curtin University, WA 6845 Perth, Australia					
6	J. Hoszowska					
7	Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland					
8	(Received 24 December 2008)					
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We perform convergent close-coupling calculations of double photoionization (DPI) of the <i>K</i> -shell of alkaline-earth metal atoms (Be, Mg, and Ca) from the threshold to the nonrelativistic limit of infinite photon energy. Theoretical double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratios for Mg and Ca are compared with experimental values derived from high-resolution x-ray spectra following the radiative decay of the <i>K</i> -shell double vacancy. We investigate the role of many-electron correlations in the ground and doubly-ionized final states played in the DPI process. Universal scaling of DPI cross section with an effective nuclear charge is examined in neutral atoms in comparison with corresponding heliumlike ions.					
16	DOI: XXXX PACS number(s): 32.30.Rj, 32.70n, 32.80.Fb, 31.15.ve					

18 I. INTRODUCTION

17

35

Direct double photoionization (DPI) of atoms initiated by 19 20 absorption of a single photon is a fundamental process driven 21 entirely by many-electron correlation. Due to its fundamental 22 importance, it has attracted considerable interest from theory 23 and experiment alike [1,2]. Most of these studies focused on 24 the valence shell DPI. In comparison, less attention was 25 given to DPI of the innermost K shell. With the advent of 26 intense and energy tunable x-ray synchrotron sources, the 27 investigation of the photon energy dependence of the double 28 1s vacancy production became accessible. Experimentally, **29** one measures the intensity ratio of the hypersatellite $K\alpha_2^h$ **30** $(1s^{-2} \rightarrow 1s^{-1}2p^{-1})$ and the diagram $K\alpha$ $(1s^{-1} \rightarrow 2p^{-1})$ x-ray 31 emission lines. When this ratio is corrected for the fluores-**32** cence yields of the single-hole state ω_K and the double-hole **33** state ω_{KK} , it can be converted into the double-to-single 34 K-shell photoionization cross-section ratio

$$P_{KK} = \frac{I_{K\alpha_2^h}}{I_{K\alpha}} \frac{\omega_K}{\omega_{KK}}.$$
 (1)

 Due to a rather soft, in the perturbation sense, nature of electron-photon interaction, the probability for creating *K*-shell double vacancy by photon impact is quite low $(10^{-2}-10^{-6})$. As a consequence, experimental data are scarce with most of the experimental results available for the 3*d* transition elements [3,4]. The double *K*-shell vacancy pro- duction at several photon energies from threshold to the ex- pected maximum was also investigated for Ag [5]. For light elements beyond helium $2 < Z \le 20$, the only measurement performed by means of high-resolution Auger-electron spec- troscopy was reported for Ne at a fixed photon energy of 5 keV [6]. Oura *et al.* [3] measured the P_{KK} ratio in Ca in the photon energy range of 8–20 keV. This ratio was compared **48** with the theoretical double-to-single photoionization cross- **49** section ratio from a perturbation-theory calculation [7]. **50**

Very recently, Hoszowska et al. [8] investigated the evo- 51 lution of P_{KK} over a wide range of photon energies from the 52 threshold up and beyond the P_{KK} maximum in Mg, Al, and 53 Si. The P_{KK} ratio was converted into the DPI cross-section 54 $\sigma^{2+}=P_{KK}\sigma^{+}$ by using the single K-shell photoionization 55 cross-section values σ^+ from the XCOM database [9]. Hos- 56 zowska et al. [8] analyzed the DPI cross section as a function 57 of the excess energy above the threshold in the reduced co- 58 ordinates $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*4}$ vs $\Delta E/Z^{*2}$ and established a universal scal- 59 ing law similar to the one suggested by Kornberg and Mira- 60 glia [10] for the helium isoelectronic sequence of ions. The 61 effective nucleus charge Z^* was derived from the binding 62 energy of the remaining K-shell electron using the hydro- 63 genic formula $\epsilon_{1s^+} = Z^{*2}$ Ry, where Ry=13.6 eV. For the he- 64 liumlike targets $Z^* = Z$. The scaled $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*4}$ curve for neutral 65 atoms was markedly below the $\sigma^{2+} Z^4$ curve for the corre- 66 sponding heliumlike ions [11]. However, the scaling with a 67 slightly different exponent $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*3.68}$ for neutral atoms al- 68 lowed to match the corresponding DPI cross sections of the 69 He-like ions scaled with the bare charges. 70

Huotari *et al.* [4] performed a similar scaling of their ex- 71 perimental P_{KK} ratios in the 3*d* transition-metal atoms 23 72 $\leq Z \leq 30$. The common energy scale was expressed in units 73 of $\Delta E/\epsilon_{1s^+}$ with $\epsilon_{1s^+}=E_{th}-E_K$ being determined by the dif- 74 ference between the DPI threshold E_{th} and the *K*-edge energy 75 E_K . The P_{KK} ratios were normalized to unity near the saturation. Thus produced a universal curve that was found to be 77 very close to the theoretical predictions based on the knock- 78 out (KO) mechanism of the DPI in He [12]. 79

Apart from this semiempirical analysis of experimental 80 data, theoretical studies of the *K*-shell DPI were limited, for 81 the most part, to the asymptotic regime of very large photon 82 energies. In this regime, for the helium isoelectronic se- 83 quence of ions, the double-to-single photoionization cross- 84

PROOF COPY [AZ10393] 062903PRA

AQ

^{*}Corresponding author; a.kheifets@.anu.edu.au

KHEIFETS, BRAY, AND HOSZOWSKA

85 section ratio can be calculated quite accurately provided that 86 electron correlation is accounted for in the initial-state wave 87 function [13]. Mikhailov et al. [7] investigated the double 88 K-shell ionization of heliumlike ions within the lowest-order 89 perturbation theory (LOPT). They compared the ratio of 90 double-to-single photoionization cross sections with avail-91 able experimental data for a number of neutral atoms at a 92 single fixed photon energy point. Generally, a good agree-93 ment was reported between theory and experiment. In the 94 case of Ca, a comparison was made over a wide photon 95 energy range from the threshold to the broad cross-section 96 ratio maximum where the theory was noticeably below the 97 experimental P_{KK} values of Oura *et al.* [3]. Few lowest mem-**98** bers of the helium isoelectronic sequence $1 \le Z \le 6$ were in-99 vestigated in a wide photon energy range, from the threshold 100 to the nonrelativistic limit of infinite photon energy, within a 101 nonperturbative convergent close-coupling (CCC) approach **102** [11]. The universal Z-scaling suggested by Kornberg and Mi-**103** raglia [10] was supported by this calculation.

Experimental results and semiempirical analysis by Hos-104 105 zowska et al. [8] suggested that the effect of electron corre-106 lations on the K-shell DPI of low-Z atoms is quite different 107 in comparison with corresponding heliumlike ions. In the 108 meantime, to the best knowledge of the authors, there have 109 been no reports on *ab initio* nonperturbative calculations of 110 the K-shell DPI of neutral atomic targets. Such a calculation 111 is attempted in the present work. We focus our attention on 112 the alkaline-earth metal atoms (Be, Mg, and Ca) for which 113 the CCC formalism, developed originally for heliumlike 114 two-electron targets, can be readily generalized. We demon-115 strated this in a recent calculation of the valence shell DPI **116** [14]. Our theoretical results for Be and Mg were found to be 117 in a good agreement with experimental data over a wide **118** range of photon energies [15,16].

119 In the *K*-shell DPI study, we employ the same frozen-core 120 model with two active ns^2 electrons which we used previ-121 ously for the valence shell DPI calculation. This is justified 122 since the innermost *K*-shell is well separated, both in the 123 coordinate space and energy, from the rest of the atom. As to 124 the subsequent radiative transitions giving rise to x-ray fluo-125 rescence, they are believed to be delayed with respect to the 126 DPI process, and their effect thus can be neglected.

127 II. THEORETICAL MODEL

A. Atomic ground state

129 Adequate account for the ground-state correlation is im-130 portant for accurate theoretical description of the DPI pro-131 cess [17]. In the present work, we employed a multiconfigu-132 ration Hartree-Fock (MCHF) expansion of the ground-state 133 wave function generated with a computer code by Dyall *et* 134 *al.* [18]. In the MCHF expansion, the dominant $1s^2$ configu-135 ration is supplemented by a number of nl^2 terms representing 136 unoccupied orbitals above the Fermi level,

137

128

$$\Psi_0(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2) = C_{1s} \langle \boldsymbol{r}_1 \boldsymbol{r}_2 | 1s^2 \rangle + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{nl} > E_F} C_{nl} \langle \boldsymbol{r}_1 \boldsymbol{r}_2 | nl^2 \rangle.$$
(2)

138 These added terms are optimized to give the lowest ground-**139** state energy. The occupied atomic orbitals above the K shell

TABLE I. The first, second, and double-ionization potentials (in keV) of the *K* shells of Be, Mg, and Ca calculated with various ground-state wave functions and compared with experimental values. The effective charge Z^* is derived from the second ionization potential using the hydrogenic formula.

Ground	K-shel							
state	First	Second	Double	Z^*				
	Ве	eryllium						
MCHF-13	0.124	0.180	0.305	3.64				
Jastrow [20]			0.303					
LeSech [19]			0.319					
Magnesium								
MCHF-11	1.310	1.462	2.772	10.37				
MCDF [8]	1.312	1.465	2.777					
LeSech [19]			2.848					
Experiment [8]			2.741					
Calcium								
MCHF7	4.032	4.302	8.334	17.78				
MCDF [3]			8.357					
Experiment [8]			8.039					
Experiment [3]			8.11					

are treated as a frozen core and are not included into the 140 MCHF expansion. We kept only those terms in Eq. (2) for 141 which $C_{nl} \ge 10^{-4}$, thus generating MCHF expansions with 142 13, 11, and 7 terms for Be, Mg, and Ca. Decreasing number 143 of terms indicates weakening of correlation in the *K* shell 144 with the increase in the nucleus charge *Z*. To study the role of 145 the ground-state correlation in the DPI process, we per- 146 formed some of our calculations with a single-configuration 147 Hartree-Fock (HF) wave function by setting $C_{1s}=1$ in Eq. (2) 148 and discarding all other terms.

As an alternative method, we tried a correlated wave 150 function of the LeSech (LeS)-type suggested by Mitnik and 151 Miraglia [19], 152

$$\Psi_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) = N \frac{Z^{3}}{\pi} e^{-Zr_{1}} e^{-Zr_{2}} \cosh(\alpha r_{1}) \cosh(\alpha r_{2}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 153 \\ +\frac{1}{2}r_{12}e^{-\lambda r_{12}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

Even though these authors did not specify explicit values of 155 the LeS parameters for Mg or Ca, we were able to find these 156 parameters by the fourth power polynomial interpolation 157 from available data for neighboring *Z* atoms. 158

The total energies of neutral atomic species and the cor- 159 AQ: responding singly- and doubly-charge ions with one and two 160 $^{#3}$ *K*-shell vacancies were used to calculate the first, second, 161 and double-ionization potentials which are presented in Table 162 I. For comparison, in the same table, we display analogous 163

K-SHELL DOUBLE PHOTOIONIZATION OF Be, Mg,...

TABLE II. Double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio $R = \sigma^{2+} / \sigma^+|_{\omega \to \infty}$ of the neutral atoms Be, Mg, Ca and heliumlike ions Be²⁺ and Mg¹⁰⁺ calculated with various ground states.

	Neutral atoms			He-like ions	
GS	Be	Mg	Ca	Be ²⁺	Mg ¹⁰⁺
HF	0.687	0.068	0.025		
MCHF	1.289	0.123	0.043	0.533	
LeS	1.199	0.085	0.031		
Hyl				0.564	0.062

164 data obtained with other types of the ground-state wave func-**165** tion as well as experimental *K*-shell double-ionization poten-**166** tials.

 Another useful characteristic of the ground state is an **168** asymptotic double-to-single photoionization cross-section ra- tio $R = \sigma^{2+}/\sigma^+|_{\omega\to\infty}$ calculated in the limit of the infinite pho- ton energy. It can be evaluated according to the nonrelativ-istic expressions of Dalgarno and Stewart [21],

$$\sigma_n \propto \langle \phi_n | \psi \rangle, \quad \sigma_t \propto \langle \psi | \psi \rangle, \quad \sigma^+ = \sum_{\epsilon_n > E_F} \sigma_n, \quad \sigma^{2+} = \sigma_t - \sigma^+.$$
(4)

 Here $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1) = \Psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 = 0, \mathbf{r}_{12} = \mathbf{r}_1)$ and $\phi_n(\mathbf{r}_1)$ is the l=0 eigenstate with the principal quantum number *n*. Thus de- fined *R* ratios for the *K* shells of neutral Be, Mg, and Ca calculated with various ground-state wave functions are pre- sented in Table II. For comparison, we show the analogous ratios for the corresponding heliumlike ions Be²⁺ and Mg¹⁰⁺ calculated with a 20 parameter Hylleraas (Hyl) ground states due to Hart and Herzberg [22].

181 Mitnik and Miraglia [19] suggested that the influence of 182 passive outer-shell electrons on electron-electron correlation 183 should be very small. The outer-shell electrons affect the 184 electron-nucleus interaction but not the electron-electron in-185 teraction. According to this scenario, the photoabsorption 186 asymptotic ratios for the neutral atoms and the corresponding 187 heliumlike ions should be very similar. In Table II we see 188 that the ion ratios are indeed close to those of the least cor-189 related HF ground state of the corresponding neutral atomic 190 species. This similarity largely disappears when the compari-191 son is made with more correlated MCHF or LeS ground 192 state.

193 B. Two-electron continuum

194 We use the multichannel expansion for the final-state **AQ: #95** wave function of the two-electron system, **4**

$$\langle \Psi_j^{(-)}(\boldsymbol{k}_b) | = \langle \boldsymbol{k}_b^{(-)}j | + \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{k} d^3k \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{k}_b^{(-)}j | T | i\boldsymbol{k}^{(+)} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{k}^{(+)}i |}{E - \varepsilon_k - \epsilon_i + i0}, \quad (5)$$

197 with boundary conditions corresponding to an outgoing wave **198** in a given channel $\langle k_b^{(-)}j \rangle$ and incoming waves in all other **199** channels $|ik^{(+)}\rangle$. Here $E = k_b^2/2 + \epsilon_j$ is the final-state energy. **200** The channel wave function $\langle k_b^{(-)}j \rangle$ is the product of a one-**201** electron orbital $\overline{\phi}_j$ with energy ϵ_j , obtained by diagonalizing the target Hamiltonian in a Laguerre basis, and a (distorted) 202 Coulomb outgoing wave $\chi^{(-)}(k_b)$ with energy ε_k . The 203 asymptotic charge seen by the Coulomb wave is unity which 204 results from the screening of the nucleus charge Z by the Z 205 -1 electrons. The half off-shell *T*-matrix in Eq. (5) is the 206 solution of the corresponding Lippmann-Schwinger integral 207 equation [23] 208 AQ

$$\langle \mathbf{k}^{(+)}i|T|j\mathbf{k}_{b}^{(-)}\rangle = \langle \mathbf{k}^{(+)}i|V|j\mathbf{k}_{b}^{(-)}\rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i'} \oint d^{3}k' \frac{\langle \mathbf{k}^{(+)}i|V|i'\mathbf{k}'^{(-)}\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}'^{(-)}i'|T|j\mathbf{k}_{b}^{(-)}\rangle}{E - \varepsilon_{k'} - \epsilon_{i'} + i0}.$$
(6) 210

The photoionization cross section, as a function of the **211** photon energy ω , corresponding to a particular bound- **212** electron state *j* is given by [24] **213**

$$\sigma_{j}(\omega) = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{\omega c} \sum_{m_{j}} \int d^{3}k_{b} |\langle \Psi_{j}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}_{b})|\mathcal{D}|\Psi_{0}\rangle|^{2} \delta(\omega - E + E_{0}),$$
(7) 214

where $c \approx 137$ is the speed of light in atomic units. The 215 negative- and positive-energy pseudostates contribute to 216 single and double photoionization cross sections, respec- 217 tively, 218

$$\sigma^{+} = \sum_{\epsilon_{j} < 0} \sigma_{j}, \quad \sigma^{2+} = \sum_{\epsilon_{j} > 0} \sigma_{j}. \tag{8}$$

The dipole electromagnetic operator \mathcal{D} can be written in one 220 of the following forms commonly known as length, velocity, 221 and acceleration [24]: 222

$$\mathcal{D}^{r} = \omega(z_{1} + z_{2}), \quad \mathcal{D}^{\nabla} = \nabla_{z_{1}} + \nabla_{z_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\nabla} = Z\omega^{-1}(z_{1}/r_{1}^{3} + z_{2}/r_{2}^{3}),$$
(9) 223

with the *z* axis chosen along the polarization vector of the 224 photon. Convergence, or lack of thereof, between calcula- 225 tions in different gauges serves as a useful test on the accu- 226 racy of the initial- and final-state wave functions. By com- 227 bining the same set of CCC final-state wave functions with 228 various descriptions of the ground state, we can compare the 229 relative accuracy of various ground-state wave functions. 230

III. EXPERIMENT 231

High-resolution x-ray emission spectroscopy and x-ray 232 synchrotron radiation were used to investigate the photon 233

172

AQ: #8

255

KHEIFETS, BRAY, AND HOSZOWSKA



FIG. 1. (Color online) Photoionization cross sections of the *K* shell of beryllium calculated with the MCHF-13 ground state. Calculations in three gauges of the electromagnetic operator are displayed with red circles (length), green diamonds (velocity), and blue asterisks (acceleration). Single photoionization cross section calculated with the ATOM program suite [26] is shown by the black solid line. The data from XCOM database [9] are shown by the dotted line

234 energy evolution of the K-shell double vacancy production in 235 Mg and Ca. Experiments have been carried out at two undu-236 lator beam lines, ID21 and ID26, at the European Synchro-237 tron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France, employing 238 the Fribourg von Hamos Bragg-type curved crystal spec-**239** trometer [25]. The double-to-single photoionization cross-240 section ratios P_{KK} were deduced from the relative intensities **241** of the resolved hypersatellite $K\alpha^h$ to the diagram $K\alpha$ x-ray 242 transitions. The x-ray emission spectra of Mg were measured 243 using a TIAP(001) crystal in second order and those of Ca 244 with a Ge(220) crystal. The diffracted x-rays were recorded 245 with a position-sensitive back-illuminated charged coupled 246 device (CCD) camera. Intensities of the hypersatellite and 247 diagram x-ray emission lines were corrected for the sample 248 self-absorption and absorption of the incident x-rays, the 249 photon flux, the spectrometer solid angle, as well as for the 250 CCD detector quantum efficiency. The incident photon flux 251 was $\sim 1-3 \times 10^{12}$ photon/s. The Mg data were reported par-**252** tially in our preceding publication [8] whereas the Ca data 253 are new.

254 IV. CALCULATION RESULTS

A. Beryllium

256 Photoionization cross sections of the *K* shell of beryllium 257 calculated with a MCHF-13 ground state are presented in 258 Fig. 1. Three panels, from left to right, display the single 259 photoionization cross-section $\omega^{7/2}\sigma^+$, compensated by an ex-260 tra power factor for the fast drop with the photon energy, the 261 double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio σ^{2+}/σ^+ , 262 and the DPI cross-section σ^{2+} . Single photoionization cross 263 section on the left panel is compared with the Hartree-Fock 264 calculation performed with the ATOM program suite [26] and 265 the XCOM data [9] available for photon energies above 1 266 keV.

267 We note that the XCOM data refer to the total photoion-268 ization cross section summed over all atomic shells. For at-269 oms with the *K*-shell DPI threshold above 1 keV, the contri-270 butions of other shells can be subtracted by an extrapolation 271 procedure. Unfortunately, this was not possible for Be with 272 the DPI threshold of only 0.3 keV. Thus, the true *K*-shell 273 photoionization cross section is somewhat below the XCOM 274 data drawn in Fig. 1.

Calculations in three gauges of the electromagnetic opera- 275 tor are displayed in the figure: the length, velocity, and ac- 276 celeration. We learned from our past experience that the 277 length gauge is the most demanding to the quality of the 278 ground state. As seen from Eq. (9), it enhances the contribu- 279 tion of the large distances to the radial integrals where the 280 variational ground-state wave function is inherently inaccu- 281 rate. On the other hand, the radial integrals in the accelera- 282 tion gauge are strongly skewed toward the small distances 283 near the nucleus where the ground-state wave function is 284 formed largely by a strong Coulomb force. In comparison 285 with the two other gauges, the velocity gauge is the best 286 overall performer in DPI calculations. It is most sensitive to 287 electron correlation, and thus the computational results in the 288 velocity gauge are most trustworthy. 289

Inspection of Fig. 1 shows that the photoionization cross 290 sections calculated with three gauges of the electromagnetic 291 operator are quite close near the DPI threshold but gradually 292 diverge with an increase in the photon energy. This result is 293 related to the role which the ground-state correlation plays in 294 two different mechanisms of DPI. The KO mechanism, 295 which is dominant near the threshold, shows little sensitivity 296 to the ground-state correlation. In contrast, the shake-off 297 (SO) mechanism, which takes over near the DPI cross- 298 section maximum, is strongly effected by this type of corre- 299 lation. As was demonstrated in our earlier work on DPI from 300 the He-like ions [11], it took an extremely accurate and so- 301 phisticated 20 term Hylleraas ground-state wave function to 302 reconcile all three gauges of the electromagnetic operator. 303 Unfortunately, such an accurate description is not available 304 for the K-shell electrons in neutral atomic species. On the 305 other hand, our calculations on valence shell DPI from Be 306 and Mg [14] showed that velocity gauge results could be 307 quite reliable even with a medium accuracy MCHF ground 308 state and could match experimental data over a wide range of 309 photon energies [15,16]. Thus, among the three sets of cal- 310 culations displayed in Fig. 1, we would favor the velocity 311 gauge results. 312

In Fig. 2 we present the double-to-single photoionization **313** cross-section ratio in Be versus the inverse photon energy. **314** This presentation allows one to see clearly how the double-**315** to-single ratio reaches the asymptotic limit of infinite photon **316** energy. Three panels, from left to right, represent calcula-**317** tions performed with the HF, MCHF, and LeSech ground **318** states. The large photon energy calculations are particularly **319**

K-SHELL DOUBLE PHOTOIONIZATION OF Be, Mg,...



FIG. 2. (Color online) Double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio in Be versus inverse photon energy calculated with various ground-state wave functions: HF, MCHF, and LeSech (from left to right). The line styles are the same as in Fig. 1.

320 demanding due to fast oscillations of the continuous state 321 wave functions. In this region, the gauge divergence be-322 comes particularly strong. With the least correlated HF 323 ground state, the length gauge fails completely. The gauge 324 divergence becomes smaller with the more correlated MCHF 325 and LeSech ground states. However, it is still quite notice-326 able.

327 The double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio 328 in the limit of infinite photon energy is calculated using Eq. 329 (4) which relies on the nucleus cusp condition and the value 330 of the ground-state wave function near the origin. As seen 331 from Eq. (9), this region of the coordinate space is best ac-332 counted for by calculations in the acceleration gauge. That is 333 why we relate the asymptotic ratio to the acceleration gauge AQ: \$34 calculations at finite photon energies. The blue solid line in

335 Fig. 2 represents a polynomial fit bridging the CCC calcula336 tion in the acceleration gauge at finite photon energies and
337 the infinite photon energy limit which is reached smoothly.

338

B. Magnesium

Photoionization cross sections of the K shell of magne-339 340 sium calculated with a MCHF-11 ground state are presented 341 in Fig. 4. A similar presentation style as in Fig. 1 is used 342 here. Three panels, from left to right, display the single **343** photoionization cross-section $\omega^{7/2}\sigma^+$, the double-to-single **344** photoionization cross-section ratio σ^{2+}/σ^{+} , and the DPI 345 cross-section σ^{2+} . The theoretical ratio and the DPI cross 346 section on the central and right panels, respectively, are com-**347** pared with the experimental data from Hoszowska *et al.* [8]. 348 The calculations in the three gauges of the electromag-349 netic operator agree well for the single photoionization cross 350 section. These calculations are consistent with the XCOM **351** data [9]. The calculation with the ATOM program suite [26] is 352 reasonable at the DPI threshold but gradually fails as the **353** photon energy becomes too large. For the DPI cross section, 354 the three gauges of the electromagnetic operator produce 355 quite different results. Based on the arguments presented in 356 Sec. IV A, we trust the velocity gauge results to be most 357 accurate.

 Direct comparison with the experiment should be made for the ratio of the double-to-single photoionization cross sections (middle panel of Fig. 3). Our calculation in the ve- locity gauge agrees reasonably well with the measurement of Hoszowska *et al.* [8] except for the largest photon energies accessible experimentally. Here, the experimental ratio is no- 363 ticeably below the theoretical predictions. When the experi- 364 mental ratio is converted to the DPI cross section by multi- 365 plying by the single-ionization cross section [9], 366 disagreement with the theory for larger photon energies 367 looks less dramatic as seen on the right panel of Fig. 3. 368 However, the peak value of the experimental DPI cross sec- 369 tion is above the calculation in the velocity gauge. 370

Hoszowska *et al.* [8] fitted their data with an empirical **371** KO-SO model and showed that it is the SO mechanism that **372** plays the dominant role at this photon energy range. As the **373** SO process is most sensitive to the ground-state correlation, **374** the disagreement with experiment may be due to an insuffi-**375** ciently accurate ground-state wave function. **376**

In Fig. 4 we present the double-to-single photoionization 377 cross-section ratio in Mg versus the inverse photon energy. 378 As was noted in Sec. IV A, large photon energy calculations 379 display a strong gauge divergence which affects the length 380 gauge in particular. We see the same tendency in the case of 381 Mg. With the least correlated HF ground state, the length 382 gauge fails dramatically. This is cured somewhat with a more 383 correlated MCHF ground state. The gauge divergence at 384 large photon energies is smallest with the LeSech ground 385 state. However, the calculations with this ground state dis- 386 agree with experiment at moderate photon energies. The ve- 387



FIG. 3. (Color online) Photoionization cross sections of the K shell of magnesium calculated with the MCHF-11 ground state. Experimental data from [8] are shown with open black circles. The dotted line going through the experimental points is the fit to the data with an empirical KO-SO model. Other line styles are the same as in Fig. 1.

KHEIFETS, BRAY, AND HOSZOWSKA



FIG. 4. (Color online) Double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio in Mg versus inverse photon energy calculated with various ground-state wave functions (from left to right): HF, MCHF, and LeSech of the neutral Mg atom and Hylleraas of the Mg^{10+} ion. The line styles are the same as in Fig. 3.

388 locity gauge calculation with the HF and MCHF ground **389** states is closest to the experiment.

390 On the right panel of Fig. 4 we present a hybrid calcula-391 tion employing a Hylleraas-type ground state of the Mg¹⁰⁺ 392 ion [22] and the CCC final state of the neutral Mg atom. This 393 calculation, especially in the acceleration gauge, is fairly 394 similar to an analogous calculation with the LeSech ground 395 state. This is quite understandable since the acceleration 396 gauge enhances the region of the coordinate state close to the 397 nucleus where the electron distribution in the atom and the 398 heliumlike ion is quite similar. Other gauges in the two cal-399 culations differ since the two-electron wave functions, both 400 in the initial and final states, are quite different in the two 401 targets away from the nucleus.

402

C. Calcium

403 Photoionization cross sections of the *K* shell of calcium 404 calculated with a MCHF-7 ground state are presented in Fig. 405 5. A similar presentation style as in Figs. 1 and 3 is used 406 here. Three panels, from left to right, display the single 407 photoionization cross-section $\omega^{7/2}\sigma^+$, the double-to-single 408 photoionization cross-section ratio σ^{2+}/σ^+ , and the DPI



FIG. 5. (Color online) Photoionization cross sections of the K shell of calcium calculated with the MCHF-7 ground state. Experimental data from [3] are shown on the middle panel with crosses. The black solid line represents the LOPT calculation of Mikhailov *et al.* [7]. Present experimental data are shown with open circles. The dotted line is the fit to the data with an empirical SO-KO model. Other line styles are the same as in Fig. 1.

cross-section σ^{2+} . Single photoionization cross section is 409 consistent with the XCOM data [9]. The theoretical double- 410 to-single ratios on the central panel are compared with the 411 present experimental data and those from Oura *et al.* [3] as 412 well as with the LOPT calculation of Mikhailov *et al.* [7]. 413 The length gauge calculations for the ratio and the DPI cross 414 section are far off from the other two gauges and have to be 415 scaled down by a factor of 0.3 to fit into the common graph. 416

Both sets of experimental data are generally consistent 417 within their error bars. Our calculation in the velocity gauge 418 is close to the LOPT calculation of Mikhailov *et al.* [7]. 419 However, the two sets of calculations are markedly below 420 experimental cross-section ratios across the whole range of 421 photon energies. In our valence shell DPI study, we observed 422 that the frozen-core model could fail for Ca because of reso-423 nant core excitations. Although we have no direct proof of 424 that, we may speculate that it is the core excitation processes, 425 which are not accounted for in the present frozen-core model 426 that make their contribution to the *K*-shell DPI process on Ca 427 as well. This could be the reason why theory and experiment 428 disagree so strongly.

In Fig. 6 we present the double-to-single photoionization 430 cross-section ratio in Ca versus the inverse photon energy. As 431 in Fig. 2, three panels, from left to right, represent calcula- 432 tions performed with the HF, MCHF, and LeSech ground 433 states. As in the case of Be and Mg, the double-to-single 434 ratio displays a strong gauge divergence at large photon en- 435 ergies when calculated with the HF and MCHF ground 436 states. The length gauge is particularly divergent and has to 437 be scaled down by a factor of 0.3 with both ground states. A 438 more correlated MCHF ground state seems to cure somewhat 439 this divergence at very large photon energies but the need for 440 rescaling remains. The LeSech ground state does not suffer 441 that much from the gauge divergence. The MCHF calcula- 442 tion in the velocity gauge and the LeS calculation in the 443 acceleration gauge are consistent with the LOPT calculation 444 of Mikhailov et al. [7] but our ratios are well below the 445 experimental values. 446

D. Scaling laws

447

It was established by Kornberg and Miraglia [10] that the 448 DPI cross section in the helium isoelectronic sequence of 449 ions follows a universal scaling law when plotted in the re- 450

K-SHELL DOUBLE PHOTOIONIZATION OF Be, Mg,...



FIG. 6. (Color online) Double-to-single photoionization cross-section ratio in Ca versus inverse photon energy calculated with various ground-state wave functions: HF, MCHF, and LeSech (from left to right). The line styles are the same as in Figs. 2 and 4.

 duced coordinates $\sigma^{2+} Z^4$ vs $\Delta E/Z^2$. Hoszowska *et al.* [8] extended this law to the *K*-shell DPI of neutral Mg, Al, and Si atoms by introducing effective charges Z^* . These charges were obtained from the energy of the *K* shell of a singly- charged ion fitted with a hydrogenic formula $\epsilon_{1s}^+(Ry) = Z^{*2}$. For the He isoelectronic sequence of ions, $Z^* = Z$. In Table I we present the energies ϵ_{1s}^+ (labeled as second ionization po- tential) and corresponding effective charges Z^* derived from MCHF calculations on the corresponding singly-charged ion with a *K* hole.

461 In Fig. 7 we use the effective charges Z^* from Table I 462 to test the DPI cross sections of Be, Mg, and Ca, calculated 463 with HF, MCHF, and LeSech ground states, against the scal-464 ing law $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*4}$ vs $\Delta E/Z^{*2}$, where the excess energy 465 $\Delta E = \omega - IP^{2+}$ is calculated using the DPI thresholds from 466 Table I.

467 We see from Fig. 7 that the proposed scaling law does 468 indeed hold across the studied sequence of the alkaline-earth 469 metal atoms. The most accurate scaling is exhibited by the 470 velocity gauge calculation with the least correlated HF 471 ground states. A more correlated MCHF ground state pro-472 duces good scaling at large excess energies but the DPI of all 473 three atoms diverges near the threshold. The theoretical DPI 474 of magnesium tends to follow closer to the experimental data 475 of Hoszowska *et al.* [8]. The velocity gauge DPI results with 476 the LeSech ground state are far too low for all three atoms. 477 So we choose to scale the acceleration gauge results. The 478 quality of such a scaling is somewhat in between of that with 479 the HF and MCHF ground states.

480 Because of the screening of the nucleus from the *K* shell **481** by the rest of atomic electrons, the effective charge Z^* is smaller than the bare nucleus charge Z. However, even with 482 this effect taken into account, the scaling of the neutral atoms 483 is quite different from that of the corresponding members of 484 the helium isoelectronic sequence of ions. Hoszowska et al. 485 [8] suggested that the scaled DPI of the neutral atoms can be 486 reconciled with the family of the He-like ions by reducing 487 slightly the power of the effective charge from 4 to 3.68. 488 This is clearly seen in Fig. 8 where we compare the experi- 489 mental data for the K shell of the neutral Mg [8] and Ca 490 atoms with the theoretical cross sections of the heliumlike 491 ions Be²⁺, Mg¹⁰⁺, Al¹¹⁺, and Si¹²⁺. Because of the very large 492 photon energies, we could not run a CCC calculation on the 493 Ca¹⁸⁺ ion. Nevertheless, all the studied members of the he- 494 lium isoelectronic sequence of ions fit very well to the same 495 curve. When the effective charge power is properly adjusted, 496 the K-shell family of the neutral atoms does indeed approach 497 this curve quite closely. 498

V. CONCLUSION

499

In the present paper, we studied the *K*-shell double photo- 500 ionization of light alkaline-earth metal atoms: Be, Mg, and 501 Ca. The theoretical single and double photoionization cross 502 sections were obtained using the convergent close-coupling 503 method and employing different ground-state wave functions 504 of various degrees of correlation. The single photoionization 505 cross sections were tested against the reference data from the 506 XCOM database [9] which served as a useful check of accu- 507 racy of calculations which were performed in three gauges of 508 the electromagnetic operator: length, velocity, and accelera- 509



FIG. 7. (Color online) Scaled double photoionization cross-section $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*4}$ of the *K* shells of Be (red circles), Mg (green diamonds), and Ca (blue asterisks) plotted versus the excess energy $\Delta E/Z^{*4}$ above the respective DPI threshold. The three panels (from left to right) display calculations with the HF, MCHF (in the velocity gauge), and the LeSech ground states in the acceleration gauge. The experimental data for Mg from Hoszowska *et al.* [8] (open circles) and present experimental data for Ca (open triangles) are plotted with error bars.

KHEIFETS, BRAY, AND HOSZOWSKA



FIG. 8. (Color online) Scaled double photoionization crosssection $\sigma^{2+} Z^4$ of the *K* shell of heliumlike ions Be²⁺ (black asterisks), Mg¹⁰⁺ (blue filled circles), Al¹¹⁺ (green diamonds), and Si¹²⁺ (red squares) are plotted versus the excess energy $\Delta E/Z^4$. The solid lines are drawn to guide the eyes. The experimental data for Mg from Hoszowska *et al.* [8] (open circles) and present experimental data for Ca (open triangles) in the $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*3.68}$ vs $\Delta E/Z^{*2}$ coordinates are plotted with error bars. The dotted and solid lines joining the experimental points for Mg and Ca, respectively, are the SO-KO fit to the data.

510 tion. Away from threshold, the DPI cross sections for all the **511** studied atoms suffered from gauge divergence, especially **512** strong in the length gauge. Nevertheless, the combination of **513** the velocity gauge with the HF and MCHF ground states, **514** and the acceleration gauge with LeSech ground state, pro-**515** duced sensible results for the double-to-single photoioniza-**516** tion cross-section ratio and the DIP cross section. Some ad-**517** vantage of the velocity gauge can be attributed to a well-

549 550

551

- 552 [1] J. S. Briggs and V. Schmidt, J. Phys. B 33, R1 (2000).
- 553 [2] L. Avaldi and A. Huetz, J. Phys. B 38, S861 (2005).
- 554 [3] M. Oura et al., J. Phys. B 35, 3847 (2002).
- 555 [4] S. Huotari, K. Hämäläinen, R. Diamant, R. Sharon, C. C. Kao, and M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 043001 (2008).
- 557 [5] E. P. Kanter, I. Ahmad, R. W. Dunford, D. S. Gemmell, B.
 558 Krassig, S. H. Southworth, and L. Young, Phys. Rev. A 73, 022708 (2006).
- 560 [6] S. H. Southworth, E. P. Kanter, B. Krässig, L. Young, G. B.
 561 Armen, J. C. Levin, D. L. Ederer, and M. H. Chen, Phys. Rev.
 562 A 67, 062712 (2003).
- 563 [7] A. I. Mikhailov, I. A. Mikhailov, A. N. Moskalev, A. V. Ne564 fiodov, G. Plunien, and G. Soff, Phys. Rev. A 69, 032703
 565 (2004).
- AQ: **566** [8] J. Hoszowska *et al.* (unpublished).
- 567 [9] M. Berger, J. Hubbell, S. Seltzer, J. Chang, J. Coursey, R.
 568 Sukumar, and D. Zucker, *XCOM: Photon Cross Sections Da-*569 tabase, 1st ed. (National Institute of Standards and Technol-
- AQ: **570** ogy, Gaithersburg, MD, 2005).
- **571** [10] M. A. Kornberg and J. E. Miraglia, Phys. Rev. A 48, 3714
 572 (1993).
 - 573 [11] A. S. Kheifets and I. Bray, Phys. Rev. A 58, 4501 (1998).
 - 574 [12] T. Schneider and J.-M. Rost, Phys. Rev. A 67, 062704 (2003).

balanced contribution of various regions of the coordinate 518 space into the calculations of radial integrals. The LeSech 519 ground state, by design, was specially optimized to repro-520 duce best the electron distribution in the innermost K shell 521 and ignored completely the outer core and valence electrons. 522 Thus, it was found to be most suitable for the acceleration 523 gauge calculations which spanned this region of the coordi-524 nate space most accurately. 525

The universal scaling law was tested in the reduced coor- 526 dinates $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*4}$ vs $\Delta E/Z^{*2}$, where the effective charges were 527 deduced from the second ionization potential of the corre- 528 sponding *K* shell. The scaling law was upheld, with various 529 degrees of accuracy, depending on the description of the 530 atomic ground state. Further, the *K*-shell DPI scaling curve 531 for neutral atomic species was compared with analogous 532 scaling of the He-like ions. Both families of targets could be 533 placed on a universal scaling curve if the neutrals were 534 scaled as $\sigma^{2+} Z^{*3.68}$ as suggested by Hoszowska *et al.* [8]. 535

The present work is a systematic study of the *K*-shell DPI **536** AQ: using an *ab initio* nonperturbative method. It shows signifi- **537 *7** cant difficulties of such a calculation which is particularly **538** demanding to the accuracy of the ground state. Thus far, **539** none of the available ground-state wave functions satisfied **540** the strict gauge convergence test. Nevertheless, the authors **541** are hopeful that the present report will stimulate further ef-**542** forts approaching the problem from both experimental and **543** theoretical sides. **544**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge support of the Swiss National 546 Science Foundation and the ESRF. Resources of the National 547 Computational Infrastructure (NCI) Facility were used. 548

- [13] R. C. Forrey, H. R. Sadeghpour, J. D. Baker, J. D. Morgan, 575 AQ: and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A 51, 2112 (1995). 576 #10
- [14] A. S. Kheifets and I. Bray, Phys. Rev. A 75, 042703 (2007). 577
- [15] R. Wehlitz, D. Lukic, and J. B. Bluett, Phys. Rev. A 71, 578 012707 (2005).
 579
- [16] R. Wehlitz, P. N. Juranić, and D. V. Lukić, Phys. Rev. A 78, 580 033428 (2008).
 581
- [17] A. S. Kheifets and I. Bray, Phys. Rev. A 57, 2590 (1998). 582
- [18] K. G. Dyall, I. P. Grant, C. T. Johnson, F. P. Parpia, and E. P. 583
 Plummer, Comput. Phys. Commun. 55, 425 (1989).
- [19] D. M. Mitnik and J. E. Miraglia, J. Phys. B 38, 3325 (2005). 585
- [20] M. Becher, B. Joulakian, C. Le Sech, and M. Chrysos, Phys. 586
 Rev. A 77, 052710 (2008). 587
- [21] A. Dalgarno and A. L. Stewart, Proc. Phys. Soc. London **76**, **588** 49 (1960). **589**
- [22] J. F. Hart and G. Herzberg, Phys. Rev. 106, 79 (1957).
- [23] I. Bray, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1066 (1994).
- [24] M. Y. Amusia, Atomic Photoeffect (Plenum, New York, 1990). 592
- [25] J. Hoszowska, J. C. Dousse, J. Kern, and C. Rheme, Nucl. 593
 Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 376, 129 (1996). 594
- M. I. Amusia and L. V. Chernysheva, Computation of Atomic 595 Processes: A Handbook for the ATOM Programs (Institute of 596 Physics, Bristol, UK, 1997).

545

590

591

NOT FOR PRINT!

FOR REVIEW BY AUTHOR

AUTHOR QUERIES —

- #1 AU: Please supply the definition of XCOM if possible.
- #2 AU: Please verify if the insertion of "that" here preserves your meaning.
- #3 AU: Please check if the change of "spicies" to "species" throughout preserves your meaning.
- #4 AU: Please verify the accuracy of Eq. 5.
- #5 AU: Please verify the accuracy of Eq. 6.
- #6 AU: Although the text makes reference to color online, figures will appear black and white in print. Please make sure the text makes sense to readers of print.
- #7 AU: Phys. Rev. prefers not to make claims of novelty. (See APS memo H-22.) Please check change of "the first" to "a".
- <text> #8 AU: Although a caption makes reference to color online, figures 1, 7, and 8 will appear black and white in print. Please make sure the caption makes sense to print reader.
- #9 AU: Please update Ref. 8.
- #10 AU: Please verify publisher and publisher location in Ref. 9.

PROOF COPY [AZ10393] 062903PRA