A low frequency instability has been observed using various electrostatic probes in a low-pressure expanding helicon plasma. The instability is associated with the presence of a current-free double layer (DL). The frequency of the instability increases linearly with the potential drop of the DL, and simultaneous measurements show their coexistence. A theory for an upstream ionization instability has been developed, which shows that electrons accelerated through the DL increase the ionization upstream and are responsible for the observed instability. The theory is in good agreement with the experimental results.

Double layers (DLs) are spatially isolated, rapid changes of potential in a plasma and are important structures for accelerating charged particles. A well-known example is the acceleration of the aurora electrons [1], and recently, it has been suggested that DLs are also responsible for the acceleration mechanism in corona funnels [2]. Current-free electric double layers (CFDLs) have received considerable attention for the last several years [3–7]. CFDLs spontaneously form in expanding low-pressure plasmas; they are stable in space and time and they form within the first 100 μs of the breakdown [8]. There is no evidence of abrupt unstable behaviors, and the DL does not propagate. Ion energy distribution functions (IEDF) measured with a retarding field energy analyzer (RFEA) have shown the presence of a supersonic ion beam downstream of the DL [4]. A recently developed theory for the formation of CFDLs incorporates, in addition to the downstream ion beam, an electron beam upstream of the DL at the high potential side which enhances the ionization in this region [9]. Preliminary experimental results have shown evidence supporting the presence of this electron beam [4].

The first measurements of low frequency instabilities that are associated with the CFDL are presented here. We interpret them as an upstream ionization instability [10–12] resulting from the additional ionization caused by the energized electron beam. A theory of the instability is developed, based on [9,10]. Both the theory and the experiment show that the frequency of the instability increases linearly with the potential drop of the DL.

The experiments are performed in the helicon source Chi-Kung, where CFDLs have been previously investigated [3,4]. The plasma is created by a helicon-type antenna powered at 13.56 MHz, which is wrapped around a cylindrical insulating source chamber. The source is connected to a larger diameter grounded diffusion chamber, and the plasma is allowed to expand due to the geometrical expansion as well as a diverging magnetic field. The CFDL forms spontaneously in the source a short distance from the junction with the diffusion chamber for pressures between 0.2 and 2 mTorr in argon [9].

A Langmuir probe (LP) is used to measure the fluctuations of the floating potential $V_f$ (probe floating into 1 MΩ), the ion saturation current $V_{i,\text{sat}}$ (probe biased at $-56 \text{ V}$), and the electron saturation current $V_{e,\text{sat}}$ (probe biased at $+56 \text{ V}$). An emissive probe is used to measure the fluctuations directly on the plasma potential $V_p$ (by applying a heating current the floating probe sits at the plasma potential). An RFEA measuring the IEDF downstream is used together with the LP to simultaneously measure the presence of the DL (i.e., the presence of a downstream ion beam) and the instability. Additionally, the RFEA allows us to measure the fluctuations on the beam ion current $I_{\text{beam}}$ directly, acquiring the frequency spectrum at different discriminator voltages.

The frequency spectrum is measured over a broad frequency range from 1 to 30 MHz. We emphasize here the fluctuations observed at 5–20 kHz, which are present only when the CFDL exists. A typical spectrum from 0 to 30 kHz is inset in Fig. 1 and shows a pronounced peak at $\sim 15 \text{ kHz}$.
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The instability frequency $F_i$ and amplitude $A_I$ have been measured as a function of pressure, magnetic field, rf power, and radial and axial position. In this Letter we present the measurements of $V_f$ and $I_{\text{beam}}$, however, the instability is observed on all plasma parameters ($V_f$, $I_{i,\text{sat}}$, $I_{e,\text{sat}}$, $V_p$, $I_{\text{beam}}$) and are consistent with the ones presented here.

Figure 1 shows the measured frequency $F_i$ and potential drop of the double layer $V_f$ as a function of pressure and shows a linear dependency between $F_i$ and $V_f$. The rf power is 250 W and the diverging magnetic field is 125 G in the source. The floating LP is on axis about power is 250 W and the diverging magnetic field is here.

Figure 2 shows the IEDF (solid line) at a pressure of 0.3 mTorr with a background cold ion population with an energy corresponding to the plasma potential of 26 V and an ion beam at an energy peak of 53 V. At this downstream position the ion beam density, found by integrating the IEDF, is about 10% of the background ions. The low frequency spectrum is measured on the collector current of the RFEA while the discriminator voltage $V_d$ is measured as a function of pressure, magnetic field, rf power, and radial and axial position. In this case $A_I$ drops by as much as 70% at $V_d = 0$ V (compared to the RFEA facing the DL). Hence, it seems that the ion beam downstream is strongly influenced by the instability, and we therefore suspect that the instability is “born” upstream and carried by the ion beam downstream of the DL.

Johnson et al. [10] observed low frequency instabilities in their double plasma device when current-driven DLs were present, and they suggested that electrons accelerated by the DL potential drop may excite an ionization instability in the upstream region. As the ionization collision cross section is a rapidly increasing function of the electron energy (close to the ionization threshold), the beam electrons will add to the ionization in the upstream region. The underlying assumption for this instability to grow is the existence of some electrostatic perturbation in the plasma; the ionization rate will then be higher at the wave crest, increasing the amplitude of the potential fluctuations. In the one-dimensional fluid model of Johnson et al. a monoenergetic beam of electrons with energy slightly higher than the ionization energy was assumed to account for all the ionization in the upstream region, and the ionization by the Maxwellian population of background electrons was neglected. This model was later modified to account for the contribution from the cold electron population in addition to assuming a nonquasineutral perturbation [11]. The model has also been adapted to dusty plasmas, where negatively charged dust grains have been taken into account [12].

The theoretical analysis presented here includes an accelerated non-Maxwellian group of electrons upstream, due to the flow of downstream electrons, created by electron-neutral ionization, across the double layer. A two-dimensional low-pressure diffusion analysis is used. The plasma equilibrium, with uniform particle densities in the bulk that drop relatively sharply at the plasma-sheath edge, is found in the upstream region by balancing the creation and loss of ions, yielding

$$n_d n_g K_{1z} + n_i n_g K_{2z} - v_i n_i = 0,$$

(1)

where $n_d$ is the thermal electron density, $n_g$ is the neutral...
gas density, $K_{iz}(T_e)$ is the thermal electron-neutral ionization rate coefficient, $n_i$ is the accelerated (beam) electron density, $K_{iz}(V_s, T_e)$ is the accelerated electron-neutral ionization rate coefficient,

$$
\nu_L = \frac{(2h_l}{l} + \frac{2h_R}{R}) u_B
$$

is the upstream particle loss frequency, $n_i = n_d + n_c$ is the ion density, $T_e$ is the common thermal electron temperature in the upstream and downstream regions, and $u_B = (eT_e/M)^{1/2}$ is the Bohm velocity, with $M$ the ion mass. In (2) we assume no reduction in the radial losses due to the upstream magnetic field, and $h_l = 0.86/(3 + l/2\lambda_i)$ and $h_r = 0.8/(4 + R/\lambda_i)$ are the axial and radial edge-to-center density ratios [9,13], with $l$ and $R$ the length and radius of the upstream region and $\lambda_i$, the (constant) ion-neutral mean free path. The ionization rate coefficient $K_{iz}$ for the upstream accelerated electrons was calculated in [9] and depends on both $V_s$ and $T_e$. The second term in (1) corresponds to the energy dependent cross section used in [10,11] but accounts for the non-Maxwellian distribution of the electron beam rather than assuming a monoenergetic beam.

Assuming that the perturbed quantities vary as $exp(j\omega t - jkz)$, the perturbed ion balance/continuity relation is

$$
\begin{align*}
 j\omega \tilde{n}_i + n_i \nabla \cdot \tilde{v}_i &= \tilde{n}_d n_i K_{iz} + \tilde{n}_c n_i K_{izc} \\
 &+ n_i n_c K_{iz}^c \phi - \nu_L \tilde{n}_i,
\end{align*}
$$

where the tildes denote the perturbed quantities, $K_{iz} \approx K_{iz}^c \phi$ by a first order approximation, where $K_{iz}^c = \partial K_{izc} / \partial V_s$ and $\phi$ is the perturbed potential in the plasma. Differentiating $K_{izc}$ from [9], with respect to $V_s$, gives the results for $K_{izc}$ vs $V_s$ plotted in Fig. 3 for various values of $T_e$.

To solve for the wave dispersion, the perturbed quantities ($\tilde{n}_d, \tilde{n}_c, \tilde{n}_i$, and $\tilde{v}_i$) are found as a function of $\phi$, which allows us to express (3) solely by the perturbed potential. The perturbed ion velocity $\tilde{v}_i$ is found from the first order ion momentum relation

$$
\begin{align*}
 j\omega M \tilde{v}_i &= -e \nabla \phi - M \nu_{mi} \tilde{v}_i,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{mi} = u_i/\lambda_i$ is the ion-neutral momentum transfer frequency, with $u_i$ the mean ion speed. For $R \ll l$ in the low-pressure regime $T_e / T_e \ll \lambda_i / R \ll 1$, $u_i$ can be best fitted as $u_i = u_B h_B$, such that

$$
\nu_{mi} = h_R u_B / \lambda_i.
$$

The linear relation between the thermal electron perturbed density and the perturbed potential is found from the Boltzmann relation

$$
\tilde{n}_d = n_d (e\phi / T_e - 1) \approx n_d \frac{\phi}{T_e},
$$

where the latter equality follows because $\phi \ll T_e$. Since the upstream accelerated electrons have a non-Maxwellian (beamlike) axial velocity distribution [9], we can use the Vlasov equation

$$
\begin{align*}
 j\omega \tilde{f}_c - jk_z v_z \tilde{f}_c - \frac{e}{m} \frac{\partial f_c}{\partial v_z} \phi = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

to determine the corresponding relation between $\tilde{n}_c$ and $\phi$.

Solving for the perturbed distribution function $f_c$ and integrating over velocity space determines the perturbed density of the beam electrons $\tilde{n}_c$. We use the conditions $\omega / k_z \ll v_i$ and $T_e \ll V_s$, which are well satisfied for the instability regime, to evaluate the integral, yielding

$$
\tilde{n}_c = -n_c \frac{\phi}{2V_s}.
$$

Note that the signs in (6) and (8) are different, expressing the fact that thermal electrons gather into regions of higher potential, whereas the beam electron density decreases when the potential increases, as the beam enters a region of higher potential. We assume a quasineutral perturbation $\tilde{n}_i = \tilde{n}_d + \tilde{n}_c$, where $\tilde{n}_d$ and $\tilde{n}_c$ are given by (6) and (8), respectively. Nonquasineutral perturbations were examined in [11] and yielded corrections of order $\lambda_D^2 / R^2 \ll 1$, where $\lambda_D$ is the Debye length.

Finally, substituting all the perturbed quantities into Eq. (3) gives the perturbed potential

$$
\begin{align*}
 j\omega (n_d / 2V_s) \phi - n_i (e / M j\omega + \nu_{mi}) \nabla^2 \phi &= n_d \nu_{iz} \frac{\phi}{T_e} - n_c \nu_{izc} \frac{\phi}{2V_s} + n_i \Delta \nu_{izc} \frac{\phi}{T_e} - \nu_L \left(\frac{n_d}{T_e} - \frac{n_c}{2V_s}\right) \phi,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{iz} = n_i K_{iz}, \nu_{izc} = n_c K_{izc}$, and $\Delta \nu_{izc} = n_c K_{iz}^c T_e$. The thermal electron ionization frequency $\nu_{iz}$ was determined in [9] to be consistent with the “downstream” particle loss rate.
with \( h_1 \) and \( h_{R1} \) the downstream axial and radial edge-to-center density ratios, and \( l_1 \) and \( R_1 \) the downstream length and radius. Introducing the upstream accelerated electron fraction \( \eta \), such that \( n_e = \eta n_i \) and \( n_g = (1 - \eta) n_i \), and introducing the ratio \( \epsilon = T_e/2V_r \), then (9) can be written in the form of a Helmholtz equation
\[
\nabla^2 \tilde{\phi} + k^2 \tilde{\phi} = 0,
\]
with the wave number \( k \) given by
\[
k^2 u^2_B = (j \omega + \nu_{ni})(1 + e)(1 - \eta)(\nu_{L} - \nu_{\text{icx}})
+ \eta \Delta \nu_{\text{icx}} - j \omega(1 - \eta - \varepsilon \eta)],
\]
where \( \nu_{\text{icx}} \) is eliminated using (1). Equation (12) is a quadratic equation for \( \omega \) of the form \( A \omega^2 + jB \omega - C = 0 \), with the coefficients
\[
A = 1 - \eta - \epsilon \eta,
B = \eta \Delta \nu_{\text{icx}} - \nu_{ni}(1 - \eta - \varepsilon \eta) - (1 - \eta)(1 + e)(\nu_{L} - \nu_{\text{icx}}),
C = k^2 u^2_B + \nu_{ni}(1 - \eta)(1 + e)(\nu_{L} - \nu_{\text{icx}}) - \nu_{ni} \eta \Delta \nu_{\text{icx}}.
\]
Solving (12) yields the wave frequency for any given (real) wave number \( k \). For \( 4A - B^2 > 0 \), the solution for \( \omega \) has real and imaginary parts given by \( \Re \omega = (4A - B^2)^{1/2}/2A \) and \( \Im \omega = -B/2A \). The wave is unstable for \( \Im \omega < 0 \); i.e., \( B > 0 \). For \( 4A - B^2 < 0 \), the wave has \( \Re \omega = 0 \) and is unstable for \( B > 0 \).

The coefficients \( A, B, \) and \( C \) given by (13) can be expressed in terms of the neutral pressure \( P_{\text{Ar}} \), the double layer potential drop \( V_s \), and the electron temperature \( T_e \). Each pressure gives a set of \( V_s \) and \( T_e \), where \( V_s \) is found experimentally using Fig. 1 and \( T_e \) is calculated theoretically using (11). This set is then used to determine the variation in frequency \( f = \Re \omega/2 \pi \) as a function of pressure alone. To compare the theory with the experiments, we use \( l = 31 \) cm, \( R = 6.85 \) cm, \( l_1 = 29.4 \) cm, and \( R_1 = 15.9 \) cm, which gives \( h_1 = 0.43, h_R = 0.38, h_{l1} = 0.39, \) and \( h_{R1} = 0.36. \) We use \( \Delta \nu_{\text{icx}} = n_e K_{\text{icx}}^0 T_e \) and find numerically the values of \( K_{\text{icx}}^0 \) for the corresponding sets of \( (V_s, T_e) \) shown in Fig. 3.

The wave vector \( k \) in (11) is found, centering a cylindrical coordinate system on axis at the midplane of the upstream region, to be \( \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_0 \cos k_z z J_0(k_z r) \) with \( k_z^2 + k_\perp^2 = k^2 \). For boundary conditions such that \( \tilde{\phi} = 0 \) at \( z = \pm l/2 \) and \( \tilde{\phi} = 0 \) at \( r = R \), we obtain \( k_z \) and \( k_\perp \) for the normal mode with the smallest eigenvalue \( k^2 \) given by \( k_z = \pi/l \) and \( k_\perp = \alpha_0/R \), with \( \alpha_0 = 2.405 \) the first zero of the zero-order Bessel function \( J_0 \). From this we obtain \( k = 37 \) m\(^{-1}\); however, using a somewhat smaller value, \( k_0 = 26 \) m\(^{-1}\), fits better to the experimental results. The wave number is mainly determined by the radial variation of the perturbed potential and density given by \( k_\rho \). In order to justify a somewhat reduced \( k_\rho \), \( \tilde{\phi} \) and \( \tilde{n} \) might not fall to zero at the chamber radius but at some larger effective radius instead. Using \( r = 10 \) cm rather than \( r = 6.8 \) cm gives \( k = 26 \) m\(^{-1}\), as used in Fig. 1. The electron beam density previously determined [9] gives \( \eta = 0.27 \). Figure 1 shows the calculated (solid line) and measured (diamonds) frequency as a function of pressure. The dotted line is the normalized values \((\times 10)\) of the growth rate, \( \Im \omega \), which shows that the solution is unstable for the DL cases. A good correlation between the measured amplitude and the wave growth is observed. The system is stable in the absence of the accelerated electrons upstream. For this limit, the upstream equilibrium condition is \( \nu_{L} = \nu_{\text{L,icx}} \) with \( \eta = 0 \). Using these conditions in (13), we obtain \( A = 1, B = -\nu_{ni}, \) and \( C = k^2 u^2_B \), representing a damped ion-acoustic mode in the upstream chamber. Note that the calculated frequency increases in the pressure range where the low frequency noise in the spectrum was too high for the instability to be detected experimentally (0.6—2 mTorr). The growth rate in this pressure range is, although positive, very small as seen by the dotted line in Fig. 1, which is consistent with the small amplitudes seen experimentally.

In summary, we have investigated a low frequency instability occurring in low-pressure plasmas only when a current-free double layer exists. The frequency of the instability increases as a function of the double layer potential drop. The experimental results are in good agreement with the presented theory of an ionization instability as a result of an electron beam being accelerated by the potential drop of the DL adding to the ionization in this region.
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