Transverse electron momentum distribution in tunneling and over the barrier ionization by laser pulses with varying ellipticity
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We study transverse electron momentum distribution (TEMD) in strong field atomic ionization driven by laser pulses with varying ellipticity. We show, both experimentally and theoretically, that in the tunneling and over the barrier ionization regimes the TEMD evolves in a qualitatively different way when the ellipticity parameter describing polarization state of the driving laser pulse increases.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Rm 32.80.Fb 42.50.Hz

The current understanding of strong field atomic ionization is based on the pioneering work by Keldysh [1] which introduced the well-known distinction between the multiphoton and tunneling ionization regimes. The borderline between the two regimes is drawn by the so-called Keldysh parameter \( \gamma = \omega \sqrt{2T/E} \) defined via the frequency \( \omega \) and the strength \( E \) of the laser field and the ionization potential \( I \) of the target atom (the atomic units are used in the paper unless otherwise specified). The values of \( \gamma \ll 1 \) correspond to the tunneling regime. A finer distinction arises when one realizes that the Keldysh theory in its original form is not applicable for very high field strengths exceeding the over the barrier ionization (OBI) limit. The OBI regime was first observed in [2] (see also [3] for a detailed review). The reason for failure of the Keldysh theory in its original form in the OBI regime is that for the fields exceeding \( E_{\text{obi}} \) there is a classical escape trajectory for an electron. One cannot, therefore, rely on the saddle point method that Keldysh employed in his original work. The so-called Keldysh-Faisal-Reiss (KFR) theory [4, 5] must be used instead to describe the OBI regime. Despite the fact that underlying physics is very different in the two regimes (a classically forbidden trajectory for tunneling and a classically allowed trajectory for OBI), the energy spectra and electron angular distributions as given by these two theories are not dissimilar. This makes it often difficult to distinguish the two regimes in the experiment [6].

In this Letter, we demonstrate, that the transverse electron momentum (TEMD) is a measurable quantity that is qualitatively different in the tunneling and the OBI regimes. This distribution gives the probability to detect a photoelectron with a given value of the momentum component \( p_\perp \) perpendicular to the polarization plane of the laser radiation. In the tunneling regime, TEMD exhibits a cusp-like structure perpendicular to the polarization plane of the laser radiation. In the tunneling regime, TEMD demonstrates a cusp-like structure due to the Coulomb focusing effect at \( p_\perp = 0 \) for linear polarization [7], and a Gaussian-like structure predicted by the Keldysh theory for circular polarization [8]. We studied this transition from the cusp-like to the Gaussian structures in detail in the tunneling regime [9], and interpreted this transition as a gradual diminishing of the role of the Coulomb effects with a growing ellipticity of the laser pulse. Further study of the role of the Coulomb focusing effects has been reported in [10]. We shall see below that the situation is quite different in the OBI regime, where the TEMD always has a cusp regardless of the value of the ellipticity parameter. As a result of this qualitatively different behavior of the TEMD, one can clearly distinguish the tunneling and OBI regimes. This is a very important result since the TEMD conveys information about the fine details of the strong field ionization process [10, 11]. One such detail is the electron velocity distributions at the moment of time when ionization occurs, the fact which is often used in various models of strong field ionization. Qualitative difference in TEMD that we demonstrate in the present work implies equally profound difference in the details of the ionization process in the tunneling and OBI regimes.

In the present experiment, we measure TEMD in two atomic species with markedly different ionization potentials. We use the argon atom in the ground \( ^1S_0 \) state and the neon atom in the \( ^3P_2 \) metastable state with the ionization potentials of 15.76 eV and 5.07 eV, respectively. This difference in the ionization potentials enables the use of the same laser system to access the tunneling and OBI regimes. This measurement using metastable neon is the first time these atomic species were used in a strong-field ionization experiment.

Our theoretical results are obtained by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE). To describe the field-free Ar and metastable Ne\(^+\) atoms, we used effective one-electron potentials [12]. Interaction of the atom with the laser pulse is described in the velocity form of the interaction operator. The laser pulse is elliptically polarized and propagates along the \( z \)-direction assumed to be the quantization axis:

\[
E_x = \frac{E}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}} f(t) \cos \omega t, \quad E_y = \frac{E\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}} f(t) \sin \omega t, \quad (1)
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the ellipticity parameter. The function \( f(t) = \sin^2(\pi t/T_1) \), with \( T_1 \) being the total pulse duration, is used to represent the pulse envelope. For the Ar atom, the field strength was \( E = 0.1171 \) a.u. corresponding to the peak intensity of \( 4.8 \times 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2\). For the metastable Ne\(^+\) atom, \( E = 0.0756 \) a.u. with the peak intensity of \( 2 \times 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2\).
The carrier wavelength $\lambda = 750$ nm and the FWHM of 6.5 fs were the same for Ar and Ne$^*$. To solve the TDSE we employ the strategy used in the previous works $[8, 13, 14]$. The solution of the TDSE is represented as a partial waves series:

$$\Psi(r,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{L_{\text{max}}} \sum_{\mu=-l}^{l} f_{\mu}(r,t) Y_{\mu l}(\theta, \phi).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The radial part of the TDSE is discretized on the grid with the stepsize $\delta r = 0.1$ a.u. in a box of the size $R_{\text{max}} = 400$ a.u. The maximum orbital momentum in Eq. (2) was restricted to $L_{\text{max}} = 60$. A series of routine checks was performed to ensure the convergence with respect to variation of $\delta r$, $R_{\text{max}}$ and $L_{\text{max}}$. The matrix iteration method $[15]$ was used to propagate TDSE in time.

Ionization amplitudes $a(p)$ were obtained by projecting the solution of the TDSE after the end of the pulse on the set of the ingoing scattering states $\Psi_p(r)$ of the target atom. The TEMD $W(p_{\perp})$ was calculated as

$$W(p_{\perp}) = \int |a(p)|^2 \, dp_x \, dp_y, \quad p_{\perp} = p_z$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

This is to be compared with the Keldysh theory expression valid in the tunneling regime $[16]$:

$$W(p_{\perp}) \propto \exp \left\{ - (2\alpha)^2 \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 p_{\perp}^2} \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

A schematic representation of the experiment is shown in Figure 1. The ultrafast light pulses are produced by a commercially available chirped-pulse amplification laser system (Femtolasers, Femtopower Compact Pro CE Phase). The light pulses are generated, stretched, amplified and then compressed in the system. The pulse repetition rate is 1kHz with a pulse duration of 6 fs, pulse energies of approximately 450 $\mu$J and a central wavelength of 800 nm. The pulse train is focused down to a spot size of 7 $\mu$m radius (FWHM) at the interaction region of the commercially available Cold Target Recoil Ion Momentum Spectroscope (COLTRIMS) from Roentdek. This is the electron detection device, where the laser pulse ionises atoms from a target atom beam, then uses position dependent delay-line time of flight detectors to determine the momentum vectors of the ionized electrons. More information on this device can be found in $[17]$. The electron momentum is measured as a function of the ellipticity of the ionizing beam, which is varied using a quarter waveplate. The Ar beam is provided by a cold gas jet source. Metastable $^3P_2$ neon atoms are produced by a gas discharge source, which uses a DC discharge across a supersonic gas expansion region to excite approximately 1% of neon atoms in a gas jet into the correct state. The flux of metastable neon atoms is improved by optical collimation techniques that take advantage of the 640 nm closed optical transition to the $^3D_2$ state. Further details of this gas source can be found in $[18, 19]$.

Experimental and theoretical TEMD results for Ar are shown in Figure 2. A general trend of the calculated TEMD with increase of ellipticity is very similar to that reported previously for the simulations of the hydrogen atom $[8]$. The cusp-like structure is present for linear polarization and it gradually evolves into a Gaussian as the ellipticity parameter increases.

Figure 3 presents the theoretical and experimental TEMD results for metastable Ne$^*$. In this target atom, the TEMD evolution with the ellipticity parameter is very weak with the cusp clearly present even for the circularly polarized pulse. To analyze this cusp in more detail, we zoomed in on the narrow range of momenta $p_{\perp} \in (-0.15,0.15)$ a.u. in the vicinity of $p_{\perp} = 0$. To enhance the cusp, we visualize the function $V(p_{\perp}) = \ln W(p_{\perp})$ in this narrow interval. For the TEMD $W(p_{\perp} = 0)$ to have a cusp, $V(p_{\perp} = 0)$ should have an infinite derivative of some order and be represented as the lowest order expansion near a singular point $[8]$.

$$V(p_{\perp}) = B + A|p_{\perp}|^\alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

This expansion does, in fact, reproduce $V(p_{\perp} \simeq 0)$ very well as is seen in Figure 4 where the calculated $V(p_{\perp})$ and predictions of the fitting formula (5) are displayed.

The same functional form (5) was used to fit both the theoretical and experimental data for the ground state Ar and the metastable Ne$^*$ in the whole range of ellipticities by considering the coefficients $A$, $B$, $\alpha$ as fitting parameters. The most essential $\alpha$ parameters are shown in Figure 3 for Ar (top) and Ne$^*$ (bottom). Shown in the figure are the estimated experimental fitting errors. For the theoretical data, the fitting errors do not exceed a fraction of a percent and are not visible on the scale of the figure. The Ar and Ne$^*$ data in Figure 5 show a qualitatively different behavior of the $\alpha$ parameter as a function of the ellipticity. For the Ar atom, the $\alpha$ parameter grows with $\epsilon$ reaching the value close to 2 for circular polarization, implying that TEMD becomes close to a Gaussian for the ellipticity parameter values approaching 1. However, for the metastable Ne$^*$ atom, the $\alpha$ parameter remains essentially flat, indicating that a cusp-like behavior is present for all $\epsilon$ in the range from linear to circular polarization.

While the Ar case shows the behavior qualitatively similar
to that found previously for hydrogen, the metastable Ne$^+$ presents a different trend, with the cusp never disappearing completely. In this case, a simplified description based on the Keldysh theory is never correct even qualitatively. This qualitative difference can be explained by the different ionization regimes for Ar and metastable Ne$^+$. Indeed, the critical field corresponding to the onset of OBI can be found from the equation $E_{\text{obi}} = (I + \Delta I_{\text{AC}})^2/4$, where $\Delta I_{\text{AC}}$ is the AC Stark shift of the ionization potential. This simple formula for $E_{\text{obi}}$ does not take into account the possibility of the above-barrier reflection, but for the weak fields that we presently consider it should provide a good estimate.

By approximating the AC Stark shift as $\Delta I_{\text{AC}} \approx E^2/(4\omega^2)$, we obtain for the metastable Ne$^+$ $E_{\text{obi}} \approx 0.0093$ a.u. which is less than the field $E = 0.0736$ a.u. that we employ. An analogous estimate for Ar shows that the intensity of $4.8 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ we employ for Ar is well into the tunneling ionization domain.

The TEMD cusp disappearance with increasing $\epsilon$ can be explained by the dramatic change of the angular momentum composition of the ionized electron wave function. This angular momentum composition can be conveniently characterized by the distribution of the norm $N_l$ of the wave function obtained if only the terms containing spherical harmonics of the rank $l$ are retained in expansion. For a tunneling process we can expect this distribution to be shifted towards larger $l$ with increasing pulse ellipticity parameter. Indeed, a tunneling process can be viewed as a non-resonant absorption of a large number of photons. Absorption of a photon from the circularly polarized wave increases the magnetic quantum number by one unit. This leads to a prevalence of high angular momenta in the partial wave expansion. High angular momenta create large centrifugal barrier, which suppresses the Coulomb focusing effects that are responsible for the cusp-like behavior. The cusp, therefore, vanishes for polarization close to circular, as in the case of Ar reported here, or hydrogen reported earlier. The situation with the metastable
FIG. 4: (Color online) The logarithmic TEMD $V(p_\perp) = \ln W(p_\perp)$ (red solid line) and predictions of the fitting formula (green dots) for metastable Ne$^*$ driven by the linearly polarized pulse.

FIG. 5: (Color online) The fitting parameter $\alpha$ in Eq. (5) as a function of the ellipticity parameter $\varepsilon$ for Ar (top) and Ne$^*$ (bottom). (Black) circles: experimental data, (red) squares: theoretical results.

Ne$^*$ is completely different. OBI dominates in this case, and since OBI is essentially a distortion of the atomic potential to the degree, that there is effectively a zero barrier to the continuum, the atom does not have to absorb many photons to become ionized. We may expect, therefore the distribution $N_l$ to be peaked at the values of the angular momenta lower than in the case of tunneling. That this is indeed the case can be seen in Figure 6 where the distributions $N_l$ are shown for hydrogen for the circularly polarized pulse with the field intensities of $10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ (tunneling) and $10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ (the OBI regime). The fact that the TEMD behaves in a markedly different way for the tunneling and OBI regimes is very indicative. It enables a clear distinction between these two regimes in the experiment.
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